	AIR PRIORITY	(Sc	SECRET (curity Classification)	762.00	PE IN THIS SPACE
	FOREIGN	SERVICED	ESPATCH	XR7620	6
FROM	: American Emba	ssy Bonn	1854 DESP. NO	COPY NO.	SERIES A
ТО	: THE DEPARTM	IENT OF STATE:	VASHINGTON	ile- December 2,	1958 -
REF	: Embtel to Dep	t. 1111, Novem	ber 25, 1958	2/3/09-	NON EW AT
For	Dept. 1-7/12	Pulp-1	20 + E+ S/51	ct clot at	Const
Use		ER //*	10, 2, 3/3	6 5/P C 50	
SUBJEC	Tripartite "Gar	rison Airlift"	RMY, MAUY Plan	AIR, OCB	Files
r 		43- W G		All the second	<i>-</i>
No	As reported in revember 21 between the	eftel a meeting	was held at the	American Embassy	Bonn on
CT.	vember 24 between th vil Air Attaches, th	ie U.S. Air Att	ache, and represe	ntatives of the ti	amera Asia
101	rces. The USAFE de l om ADVON.	egation was he	aded by Brig. Gen	eral Benjamin O. I	Davis
	The Political Com	nselors asked	the group to plan	for the following	-
sit	ruarions: (I) Allie	d surface acce	ss interrunted (f	or whatever meneer	Name To O
(+ /	above but with civ	il Birlines no	t operating - In :	addition the ansur	
ass	ume (a) no Soviet/G	DR jamming, an	d (b) maximum Sov	iet/GDR jamming ef	fort. O
pla	Taking the U.S. d n, 20 copies of whi	raft as a work ch are attache	ing paper the grou las enclosures to	up evolved a tripa o this despatch.	rtite N
	Attached to the U	.S. draft was a	n Annex on "Possi	ble Soviet Course	s of
Act. but	ion Against Allied A which was not discu	Air Access to I	Berlin" which was	circulated to all	monticipants ()
8 6 6	sched for department	t's information	and consideratio	on. The Anney att.	ompto to N
ansı	wered at the Government	ons, especially mental level if	concerning civil we are to plan o	. Bircraft, which i	must be UII
flee	ets which currently	provide 90% of	our Berlin air a	ccess.	
			For the Ambassa	dor:	TM Mit
	D. Control	64Q16191	Melliam (2. Olby	D
1	The state of	A LIVE	William C. Ock	ey /	ELD
	J 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	C	ounselor of Embas Economic Affai		
	Jan Hill				
1 ~	i H				
Egc1		ies Tripartite		LW	co
1 4 4	(b) 5 copie	on Airlift Plan es U.S. draft	Coord	i. POL - RWellingt	or.
र्थ प्र	annex	to U.S. draft	elan.	EB 1	3 - 55
Copy No(8)	RO:WADiamenti:jmr	M =	BERET	, 	
رقي ۾	E VELOVIER	ON COPY — D	EPARTMENT OF	STATE 5	
1100				th an endorsement of acti	on taken.

SECRE

Distribution:

```
USBER - 5 copies (a), 1 copy (b)
CINCUSAFE - 10 copies (a), 2 copies (b)
USAFE ADVON - 10 copies (a), 2 copies (b)
USAREUR Heidelberg - 10 copies (a), 2 copies (b)
AmEmbassy London - 1 copy each (a) and (b)
AmEmbassy Moscow -
                           ditto
AmEmbassy Paris -
                           ditto
AmEmbassy Paris for USCINCEUR - 2 copies each (a) and (b)
                for USRO - 2 copies each (a) and (b)
                for Thurston - 1 copy each (a) and (b)
                for West - 1 copy each (a) and (b)
POL - 1 copy each (a) and (b)
E:OD - despatch only
E:TRC - 4 copies despatch only
```

TRIPARTITE "GARRISON AIRLIFT" PLAN

For the purposes of this plan it must be assumed that (1) Allied access to Berlin is interrupted (for whatever reason) but that civil airlines continue to operate and that German surface access is unaffected. (2) Same as in (1) above, but with civil airlines not operating. In addition plan must assume (a) no Soviet/GDR jamming effort against Berlin navigational aids, and (b) complete jamming

The "Garrison Airlift" plan would have as its aim the supplying by air of the following transport needs under any of the above assumed

(a) Needs of U.S., U.K., and French Garrisons

(b) Certain non-allied essential transport

(c) Non-official transport "to the greatest extent possible"

For planning purposes the following daily requirements were established:

- (1) Category One: (U.S. Garrison) 60 tons inbound, 10 tons outbound, 100 official travelers each way (25 duty, 75 leave)
- (2) Category Two: (O)K. Garrison) $44\frac{1}{2}$ tons inbound, 5 tons outbound, 45 official travelers each way.
- (3) Category Three: (French Garison) 21 tons inbound, 2 tons cutbound, 41 official travelers each way.
- (4) Category Four: (Non-Allied Essential) 5 tons German mail each way, 50 official travelers each way, 350 refugees outbound only (i.e., no additional capacity required) (each refugee and his baggage equal 300 pounds).
- (5) Category Five: (Non-official "to greatest extent possible") No exact figure, but all such traffic would be two-way traffic and would require one sircraft sortie for each 60 non-official travelers. To show to what magnitude this category could be expanded, it is noted that the three civil sirlines currently carry about 1200 paying pasengers each way and this much lower than the recent summer months.

For planning purposes, the above requirements are converted into aircraft sorties as follows:

- (1) Category One: (U.S. Garrison) 5 loads inbound and 2 loads ourbound using C-124s or 6 loads inbound and 3 outbound using C-130s. (Note: It was agreed that military aircraft would fly at their "operational altitudes" i.e., C-130 at 25,000 feet)
- (2) Category Two: (U.K.Garrison) 5 loads inbound and 1 load outbound using Beverlies or 9 inbound and 2 outbound using Hastings.
- (3) Category Three: (French Garrison) 6 loads inbound and 1 load cutbound using Nordatlas or equivalent.
- (4) Category Four: (Non-Allied essential) This requirement would be divided three ways (except German mail which is solely a U.S. requirement) with the result that 1 additional inbound load will be saded to the U.S. loads and no additional loads will be added to the

For the purposes of a "Garrison Airlift" the following aircraft would be available: (within 24 hours notice)

- (a) CIVIL: PAA 8 C-54s (60 seat plus mail plus freight capacity); BEA 3 Viscounts (60 seat plus mail plus freight especity); U.K. manned 2 to 3 DC-3s (2) tons capacity) and 1 York (8 tons or 59 seats); Air France 3 to 4 C-54s (60 seat plus mail plus freight capacity).
- (b) MILITARY: U.S. 15 C-130s (15 tons or 65 seats) and 5 C-124s (18 tons or 85 seats) or their equivalents.* U.K. has no transport aircraft in 2nd TAF but the Air Ministry could make avialable within 24 hours sufficient aircraft to supply the needs of the U.K. Garrison plus so much of the Category Five lift as the U.K. Government decided they snould carry, i.e. "Greatest extent possible" would be left to the U.K. Government to decide in making additional aircraft available.** France also has no transport aircraft in Germany but would draw from a military pool in Paris. As with the U.K. the FAF would have sufficient aircraft to lift the requirements of the French Garrison, but the determination of "greatest extent possible" for Category Five would be made by the Government in allocating aircraft for this plan***

Operations: Under conditions of situation (1) (including civil carriers) each Air Force will lift its own garrison's requirements as an internal matter and there need be no tripartite organization to direct the garrison airlift. Category Four and Category Five goods and travelers would be lifted by civil air carriers.

Under conditions of situation (2) (no civil carriers) there would have to be only (initially) a 4-power committee. The members from the Three Embassies (not specified) would notify the FedRep member (presumably von Derrer who is chairman of the German delegation of BEALCOM) of the lift capacity available for Categories Four and Five and the FedRep member would be responsible for nominating, bringing to the loading point, and paying for Category Four and Five goods and travelers.

Accounting: Since the Berlin Garrisons are maintained out of special Berlin occupation cost funds, the Three Embassies may later decide to ask the FedRep to finance the "garrison airlift"; therefore it was agreed that each Air Force would maintain adequate cost accounting records for all airlift provided under the garrison airlift.

All requirements expressed thus far have been based on the assumption of no jamming by Soviet/GDR. If complete jamming is to be assumed (as in situation 2(b) laid down by the political counselors) it was agreed to use the BEALCOM formula of a 50% increase of capacity over plan to provide planned lift under only VFR marginal conditions (i.e., maintaining a weekly or monthly quota by flying only on "good" days).

^{*} USAFE explained that this represents a "holding back" because of USAFE's responsibility to maintain a certain military posture vis-a-vis other USAFE-wide requirements, some of which are expected to be concurrent with a Berlin "garrison airlift." In extreme cases additional aircraft could be made available for limited periods. Even 60 aircraft could be provided if necessary to protect the vital interests of the U.S., but the aircraft listed above appeared to be adequate to airlift the requirements of the U.S. Garrison plus their share of the non-allied requirements. It was further noted that USAFE would try to have at least one aircraft ready to operate immediately (i.e., one hour notice) if necessary to demonstrate that we have not abandoned the Allied air corridors.

^{**} Although the following aircraft are not to be presumed to be available for this plan it is noted for sake of comparison that the U.K. Air Ministry has planned to make available immediately for a BEALCOM situation 6 Hastings and 6 Beverlies, these to be augmented as REALCOM built up.

^{***} If the French military aircraft pool in Paris shall have been depleted by other emergencies, French Government will substitute civil aircraft which will be operated under charter to and under the control of the FAF.

THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SECRET

Copy # 2

2-29

AUNEY TO CAPPISON AIRLIST PLAN

CUBURCH: POSSIBLE SOMET COMPRES OF ACTION ACATION AND AMERICA ADDRES TO BUBLIN - AND ALLIED MUNCLIMS DU ERO

f Considering that the initiative in the current Perlin "crisis" lies with the Soviet element, and considering that the initial Allied reactions to Soviet/GDR noves may be of the greatest importance, it becomes necessary for the Tiree Western elements to consider the possible Soviet courses of action concerning Allied air access to Ferlin and, in so far as possible, to agree on counteractions to be taken by our respective elements and, there necessary, to refer to our respective Covernments for immediate defision those questions which are of a national policy nature.

Allied Air access to Perlin amounts to approximately 3,000 flights per month, over 90% of which are by civil aircraft. All 'Ilied civil and military flights are radar controlled while in the hir corridors by the Berlin Air Traffic Control Conter (PAGCO) which is located at Tempelhof and is staffed by UTAFE personnel. Flight clarrance and in-Clicht air traffic control information is passed to Allied sirpraft only by SATCC. However flight information, in the form of a simulated Might plan, is also passed to the Boviet element in the Borlin Air Safety Jenter (FASC). The Soviets do not file flight information in BISC on their aircraft flying scross or within the corridors but in lieu thereof have developed a procedure of initialing Allied flight plans in BaSC - this initialing has come to be known as the "bovict guarantee of flight safety". PASC has no direct communication with aircraft - if PASC wishes to pass a message to an Arried aircraft it must telephone SATCC and remest BATOC to pass the message to the aircraft.

Soviet action against our air access can be grouped under the following four general categories:

- L. SOVINT STATEMENTS OR ACTIONS IN THE EMELIK AIR SAFERY OF VARIA (BASC) MAICH AMOUNT TO A MERMISAL TO GHARARTER WHA SAFETI OF FLEWHY" OF ATALITO CIVIL ALPYOR MILITARY AIRCRAFT.
- A, "TLINGRY ATROTATE: It has been acreed, and is currently confirmed by practice, that Coviet statements of "no guarantee of safety" have no influence on Allied military aircraft. Allied military aircraft

901040-14

would continue to operate without regard to such Soviet statements, and if statements were made while aircraft were in flight, the Soviet statements would not be communicated to military pilots.

B. CIVIL AIRCRAFT A decision is needed by Governments as to whether or not civil aircraft should continue to operate in the face of a Soviet "refusal to guarantee safety" of Allied flights. [Note: the U.S. Government has taken the view that the Three Embassies should:

"Exert every effort to persuade the civil carriers to continue their operations regardless of Soviet refusal to 'quarantee flight safety', provided we would not be asking them to assume abnormal risks."

If the Three Embassies agree that "abnormal risks" would be involved in our civil carriers continuing to operate in the face of Soviet refusal to guarantee, then the Three Embassies would have to seek their respective Governments decision whether to:

- (i) Insure their civil operators against damage or other liability which might result from operations in the face of a Soviet refusal to guarantee safety, or to
- (ii) Provide (military) crew members for the civil
 carriers in conjunction with (i) above, or
- (iii) As a last resort and only if the essential needs of Berlin cannot be met by other means of transport, to substitute military for civil aircraft.
- 2. SOVIET WITHDRAWAL FROM BASC, WITH OR WITHOUT AN ATTEMPT TO SUBSTITUTE GDR PERSONNEL FOR SOVIET PERSONNEL AT BASC. [Note: It has been agreed that GDR personnel would be expelled from BASC if any subsituation were attempted.]

CLASSIFIED
THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Test West

SECRET

would continue to aperate without restracts and to such solvent abited in a and it foldtements come make while alporate were in March, the best to statements would not be communicated to military allows.

B. SINTA ATROPART. A decision is headed by Coverementa yo to whether or not civil sireroft should continue to operate in the facy of a Coviet "refusal to guarantee safety" of Allied Chiphts. There: the U.S. Government has taken the view that the blinge "messias chould

"Exert every effort to persuade the civil air corriers to continue their operations reservless of boviet refusal to "guarantee flight safety", provided we would not be asking them to assume abnormal risks".

If the Three Embassies agree that "athornal risks" would be involved in our civil carriers continuing to operate in the face of Poviet refusal of guarantee, then the Three Tabassies would have to seek their respective Governments decision whether to:

- (i) Insure their civil operators against denage or other liability which might mexict result from operations in the face of a loviet refusal to guarantee sefety, or to
- (ii) Provide (military) arew members for the civil carriers in conjunction with (i) above, or
- (iii) As a last resort and only if the essential needs of Berlin cannot be met by other means of transport, to substitute military for civil aircraft.
- 2. SOVIET WITHDRAWAL FROM BASC, WITH OR WITHOUT AN ALMERAT TO SUBSTITUTE GIR PERSONNEL FOR SOMIT & RESCUELL AT HASC. / Note: It has been agreed that GDR personnel would be expelled from BASC if any substitution were attempted. 7 901040-15

A. MILITARY AIRCRAFT: It is agreed that a Soviet withdrawal from BASC would have no influence on Allied military aircraft. Allied military aircraft would continue to operate and if the Soviet withdrawal occurred while aircraft were in flight, the Soviet withdrawal would not be communicated to Allied military pilots.

B. CIVIL AIRCRAFT: A decision is needed by Governments just as in 1.B. above. Soviet withdrawal from BASC may or may not be coupled with statements of "no guarantee", or even statements containing implied or specific threats to use force against Allied aircraft. Since no practical purpose would be served by trying to anticipate the variety of statements that could be made, it needed only be noted that the decisions by Governments under 1. or 2. above should be sufficiently broad as not to be voided by Soviet statements containing express or implied threats.

Irrespective of whatever action is taken under 2. above, a statement along the following lines will be made by the Allied controllers in BASC to the Soviets if and when the Soviets withdraw from BASC"

"You are hereby on notice that the aircraft of the United States, the United Kingdom, and France will continue to operate normally in the Berlin air corridors; that the U.S., U.K., and French controllers will continue to make flight information available to the Soviet Controller's desk as if the Soviet element were present to receive such flight information. The Soviet element's physical withdrawal from BASC will not relieve the Soviet [Government] [element] of its responsibility for the safety of United States, United Kingdom, and French aircraft in the Berlin air corridors and Berlin control zone. The Governments of the United States, the United Kingdom and France will continue to hold the Soviet Government responsible if the safety of flight of our aircraft is [threatened?]."

CLASSIFIED
THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

SECREI

A. "ILITARY AIRCRATT: It is agreed that a Soviet mithers at from BASC would have no influence on Allied military aircraft. I test military aircraft would continue to operate and if the Soviet of there are occurred while aircraft were in flight, the Toxiet withdrawal sould not be communicated to Allied military pilots.

as in 1. B. above. Soviet withdrawal from 11/40 hav or may not be another with statements of "no guarantee", or even statements constitute and in a specific threats to use force against Allied sireraft. Since as are bical surpose would be served by trying to anticipate the tree decisions statements that could be made; it need only be noted that the decisions by Covernments under 1, or 2, above should be sufficiently broad as not to be voided by Soviet statements containing a mean or implied threats

Trrespective of whatever action is taken under C, abore, a stakement along the following lines will be made by the Allied controllers in F. W. to the Soviets if and when the Soviets withdraw from 1950:

the United Kingdom and France will continue to operate normally in the Derlin air corridors; that the U.S., U.K. and Franch Controllers will continue to make flight information available to the Soviet Controllers will desk as if the Soviet element were present to receive such flight information. The Soviet element's physical withdrawal from BASC will not relieve the poviet / Government / Zalement / of its responsibility the safety of Saited States, United States, The editions are reach elements of the United States, the United Kingdom and France will continue to hold the Soviet Government responsible if the safety of flight of our aircraft is

FORM AE 611

CI NECIETED

901040 -16

oupled aplied or pracof

al

lied

drawal

d not

eats. tement BASC

not

tates,
the
ill
er's

the e he

t is

PARTIAL TRANSCRIPTION - ORIGINAL FOLLOWS

-4-

3. SOVIET/GDR JAMMING OF ALLIED BERLIN NAVIGATIONAL AIDS AND/OR LANDING AIDS. Jamming as used here would include "decoying" on false

CLASSIFIED
THE FOREIGN SERVICENOF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, THE PET

3. SOUTH TODE JAKTING OF ALLEY FROM NAVIGATIONAL AND ALEYOR LAMBIE AMO. Jamming as used here would include "decoying" on false courses as well as interference by non-directional transmissions on the same frequencies as Allied navigational and/or landing side. Jamaing may occur in conjunction with numbers 1 and/or 2 above, or independent thereof,

A. MILITARY ATRIBACT: It is acrowd that if electronic farming occurs military aircraft will be governed by their respective Air Force's scale of minima. We can assume that jazming will be noticed immediately by the personnel operation the Berlin radars and the the personnel monitoring the other navaids. Any jamming will be reported immediately to the BATCC Commander. Whenver jamming is reported to the BATCC Commander, he (or other here designated) shall make a determination as to the usesfility or non-useability of navaid equipment. HATCO will then communicate to pilots the BATCC commander's (or oth) here designated) determination concerning novaids. (n the basis of this information military pilots will determine which level of minima applies,

B. CIVIL AIRCRAFI: If electronic jamming o curs, civil ilots will apply their respective companies scale of minima based on the determination made by the HATCO Commander (or other here designated).

[lote: Immediate consideration should be given to the procurement (if necessary) and handy storage of the necessary crystals for altering the frequencies of Berlin navigational aids. Likewise, if the berlin radars do not have tuneable magnatrons, consideration stould also be given to their procurement. It is assumed that other frequencies are available in the Allied frequency register._7

PARTIAL TRANSCRIPTION - ORIGINAL FOLLOWS

--5-

. 4. SOVIET/GDR ATTEMPTED INTERCEPT OF ALLIED AIRCRAFT IN CORRIDORS. Attempted intercept as used here includes both shooting and non-shooting

CLASSIFIED
THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICAS DEATH

has somethed attended SECRET of alleged affective of not along. Astempted intercept as used here includes both shooting and non-shooting attemtos at intercept.

A. MILIPARY ALRORATE: A decision by Governments is needed mother military aircraft should obey or denote Toviet or OIR attempted intercept in the Berlin air corridors. It is recorrended: Military sircraft ignore attempted intercept as long as they are assured by FATOR that they are in one of the Serlin sir corridors. Since there can be no legal intercept of Allied aircraft in the Berlin air corridors, it is assumed that interceptors will not open fire in the corridors. I

B. CIVIL ATROPART: A decision by Governments is needed as in li.A. above.

ECON. TRC: MADiamanti

RS.

For the purposes of this plan it must be assumed that (1) Allied access to Berlin is interrupted (for whatever reason) but that civil airlines continue to operate and that German surface access is unaffected. (2) Same as in (1) above, but with civil airlines not operating. In addition plan must assume (a) no Soviet/GDR jamming effort against Berlin navigational aids, and (b) complete jamming effort by Soviet/GDR.

The "Garrison Airlift" plan would have as its sim the supplying by air of the following transport needs under any of the above assumed conditions:

(a) Needs of U.S., U.K., and French Garrisons(b) Certain non-allied essential transport

(c) Non-official transport "to the greatest extent possible"

For planning purposes the following daily requirements were established:

- (1) Category One: (U.S. Garrison) 60 tons inbound, 10 tons outbound, 100 official travelers each way (25 duty, 75 leave)
- (2) Category Two: (D)K. Garrison) 44½ tons inbound, 5 tons outbound, 45 official travelers each way.
- (3) Category Three: (French Garison) 21 tons inbound, 2 tons outbound, 41 official travelers each way.
- (4) Category Four: (Non-Allied Essential) 5 tons German mail each way, 50 official travelers each way, 350 refugees outbound only (1.e., no additional capacity required) (each refugee and his baggage equal 300 pounds).
- (5) Category Five: (Non-official "to greatest extent possible")
 No exact figure, but all such traffic would be two-way traffic and would require one sircraft sortie for each 60 non-official travelers. To show to what magnitude this category could be expanded, it is noted that the three civil sirlines currently carry about 1200 paying pasengers each way and this much lower than the recent summer months.

For planning purposes, the above requirements are converted into sircraft sorties as follows:

- (1) Category One: (U.S. Garrison) 5 loads inbound and 2 loads outbound using C-124s or 6 loads inbound and 3 outbound using C-130s. (Note: It was agreed that military aircraft would fly at their "operational altitudes" i.e., C-130 at 25,000 feet)
- (2) Category Two: (U.K.Garrison) 5 loads inbound and 1 load outbound using Beverlies or 9 inbound and 2 outbound using Hestings.
- (3) Category Three: (French Garrison) 6 loads inbound and 1 load outbound using Nordatlas or equivalent.
- (4) Category Four: (Non-Allied essential) This requirement would be divided three ways (except Germen mail which is solely a U.S. requirement) with the result that 1 additional inbound load will be added to the U.S. loads and no additional loads will be added to the U.K. and French loads.

For the purposes of a "Garrison Airlift" the following sircraft would be available: (within 24 hours notice)

- (8) CIVIL: PAA 8 C-54s (60 seat plus mail plus freight capacity); BEA 3 Viscounts (60 seat plus mail plus freight capacity); U.K. renskeds 2 to 3 DC-3s (2½ tons capacity) and 1 York (8 tons or 59 seats); Air France 3 to 4 C-54s (60 seat plus mail plus freight capacity).
- (b) MILITARY: U.S. 15 C-130s (15 tons or 65 sests) and 5 C-124s (18 tons or 85 sests) or their equivalents.* U.K. has no transport aircraft in 2nd TAF but the Air Ministry could make avialable within 24 hours sufficient aircraft to supply the needs of the U.K. Garrison plus so much of the Category Five lift as the U.K. Government decided they should carry, i.e. "Greatest extent possible" would be left to the U.K. Government to decide in making additional aircraft available.** France also has no transport aircraft in Germany but would draw from a military pool in Paris. As with the U.K. the FAF would have sufficient aircraft to lift the requirements of the French Garrison, but the determination of "greatest extent possible" for Category Five would be made by the Government in allocating aircraft for this plan***

Operations: Under conditions of situation (1) (including civil carriers) each Air Force will lift its own garrison's requirements as an internal matter and there need be no tripartite organization to direct the garrison sirlift. Category Four and Category Five goods and travelers would be lifted by civil air carriers.

Under conditions of situation (2) (no civil carriers) there would have to be only (initially) a 4-power committee. The members from the Three Embassies (not specified) would notify the FedRep member (presumably von Derrer who is chairman of the German delegation of BEALCOM) of the lift capacity available for Categories Four and Five and the FedRep member would be responsible for nominating, bringing to the loading point, and paying for Category Four and Five goods and travelers.

Accounting: Since the Berlin Garrisons are maintained out of special Berlin occupation cost funds, the Three Embassies may later decide to ask the FedRep to finance the "garrison sirlift"; therefore it was agreed that each Air Force would maintain adequate cost accounting records for all airlift provided under the garrison airlift.

All requirements expressed thus far have been based on the assumption of no jamming by Soviet/GDR. If complete jamming is to be assumed (as in situation 2(b) laid down by the political counselors) it was agreed to use the BEALCOM formula of a 50% increase of capacity over plan to provide planned lift under only VFR marginal conditions (i.e., maintaining a weekly or monthly quota by flying only on "good" days).

^{*} USAFE explained that this represents a "holding back" because of USAFE's responsibility to maintain a certain military posture vis-a-vis other USAFE-wide requirements, some of which are expected to be concurrent with a Berlin "garrison airlift." In extreme cases additional aircraft could be made available for limited periods. Even 60 aircraft could be provided if necessary to protect the vital interests of the U.S., but the aircraft listed above appeared to be adequate to airlift the requirements of the U.S. Garrison plus their share of the non-allied requirements. It was further noted that USAFE would try to have at least one aircraft ready to operate immediately (i.e., one hour notice) if necessary to demonstrate that we have not abandoned the Allied air corridors.

^{**} Although the following aircraft are not to be presumed to be available for this plan it is noted for sake of comparison that the U.K. Air Ministry has planned to make available immediately for a REALCOM situation 6 Hastings and 6 Beverlies, these to be augmented as REALCOM built up.

^{***} If the French military aircraft pool in Paris shall have been depleted by other emergencies, French Government will substitute civil aircraft which will be operated under charter to and under the control of the FAF.